In this installment of the Aligner Corner, the author presents three cases treated with the Invisalign First* system. The patients provide a good representation of Phase I therapeutic needs, including an anterior crossbite. The cases are all well documented and well treated, and the author offers numerous tips and techniques gleaned from her experience with some of the earliest Invisalign First cases. One particularly helpful idea was to employ smartphone reminders to help patients remember to replace their aligners after eating—another great example of leveraging technology to assist us in our ever-busier lives.

Phase I treatment is an exciting area of expansion for clear aligner therapy and will only continue to grow.

REGINA BLEVINS, DDS, MS

Few authors have reported the use of sequential clear aligners in the mixed dentition. Long and colleagues described a 100-patient prospective study of such patients, with clear aligners used to treat anterior crossbite, posterior crossbite, deep bite, crowding, and spacing.1,2

Fig. 1 Case 1. 9-year-old male patient with Class I dental and skeletal relationships, severe mandibular crowding, and ectopic lower left permanent canine before treatment.
A few individual case reports have demonstrated the application of clear aligners in the correction of a midline diastema, mesial molar drift, or anterior crossbite. One of the greatest challenges encountered in using clear aligners for Phase I treatment seems to be the maintenance of adequate appliance fit while teeth are exfoliating and erupting.

I had a unique opportunity to offer Invisalign First clear aligners to my patients in 2017 and early 2018 through a limited market-release program, in which Align Technology gave invited clinicians early access to new aligner features specific to Phase I therapy. Recent advances now make the use of clear aligners much more feasible in early mixed-dentition treatment. The turnaround time from the lab is more predictable, helping to avoid fit problems caused by tooth eruption. Appliance retention can be significantly improved using custom-shaped composite attachments. Pontic spaces and interproximal leeway space can be built into the appliances to compensate for erupting permanent teeth, so that treatment disruption is minimized. Additional features such as elastic hooks and bite ramps can be integrated into the aligners as desired. These developments enable clinicians to prescribe clear aligners in the early mixed dentition for arch development; treatment of crowding, protrusion, and rotations; or personal considerations, as when growing patients are self-conscious about their smiles.

A successful Phase I sets up an optimal environment for the remaining dental development and makes Phase II relatively straightforward. The first phase also establishes a baseline for patient expectations of the second-phase experience. Compared with traditional fixed appliances, Invisalign First clear aligners have fewer dietary restrictions, provide the convenience of weekly aligner changes (and therefore less risk of appliance damage during treatment), and permit better oral hygiene, making compliance easier to manage among 6- to 10-year-olds.

The following patients treated with Invisalign First clear aligners in the early mixed dentition demonstrate the range of initial results achieved in our practice.

**Case 1**

A 9-year-old male in the early mixed dentition presented with severe mandibular crowding, mid-arch narrowing, a deep overbite that was impinging on the palate, a lingually positioned upper right permanent lateral incisor, and an ectopic lower left permanent canine (Fig. 1). The diagnosis was a Class I dental and skeletal relationship (Table 1).

The patient had previously consulted with another orthodontist, who had suggested primary tooth extractions and a maxillary expander, but the parents sought a less invasive treatment. Invisalign First was prescribed for Phase I, with the objectives of dentoalveolar expansion and arch development, resolution of crowding, and

Fig. 3 Case 1. Patient after nine months of Phase I treatment with 40 upper and 37 lower aligners.
chain to distalize the canine with elastic traction from the upper left first molar. Another alternative would be to extract the lower left permanent lateral incisor.

Case 2

A 9-year-old female presented with an extreme overjet, a deep overbite, and moderate to severe mandibular crowding. All four permanent canines were blocked out due to early loss of the deciduous canines, which were extracted before the patient’s first visit to our office (Fig. 4). Evaluation found a Class II, division 1 malocclusion in the early mixed dentition. No cephalograms were taken because the family wished to keep the number of x-rays to a minimum.

Instead of trying to recapture the lost space of the deciduous canines, a previous orthodontist had recommended serial extractions and a maxillary expander. The family therefore requested less invasive alternatives. Our treatment aims included arch development, dentoalveolar expansion, and growth modification (Fig. 5). The crowding and deep bite would be addressed through upper first-molar rotation and incisor proclination, respectively. Mesial root tip of the lateral incisors and interproximal leeway space were built into the ClinCheck* plan to accommodate the erupting permanent teeth.

Phase I treatment lasted nine months (Fig. 6). Forty upper and 44 lower aligners were required, with no refinement needed. Three passive aligners were worn in the lower arch while the upper arch was finishing. The patient changed aligners every seven days, and his compliance was excellent.

Aligner treatment is also anticipated for Phase II. In the interim, the plan is to extract the lower left first deciduous molar to gain space for the lower left canine (which remains impacted), and to use the final aligner as a lower holding arch to prevent mesial drift of the lower left first and second molars. The eruption of the lower left canine and first and second premolars will be closely monitored. If the canine does not drift spontaneously into proper position, the patient may need surgical exposure and placement of a bracket and

| TABLE 1 |
| CASE 1 CEPHALOMETRIC ANALYSIS |
| Pretreatment |
| SNA | 89.2° |
| SNB | 83.4° |
| ANB | 5.8° |
| U1-NA | 2.0mm |
| U1-NA | 20.3° |
| L1-NB | 3.9mm |
| L1-NB | 31.8° |
| Pog-NB | 2.5mm |
| Interincisal angle | 122.1° |
| Occlusal plane-SN | 16.9° |
| MP-SN | 27.0° |

Fig. 4 Case 2. 9-year-old female patient with Class II, division 1 malocclusion, deep overbite, mandibular crowding, and impacted permanent canines before treatment.

Fig. 5 Case 2. ClinCheck plan, with Power Ridges® (not shown in final aligner stage) used for torque control of upper central incisors and all four lower incisors in stages 1-40.
Fig. 6 Case 2. Patient after nine months of Phase I treatment with 40 upper and 44 lower aligners (photos taken three months later).
Case 3

An 8-year-old female in the early mixed dentition presented with a Class III relationship, a narrow mandible, mild anterior crowding, and a crossbite of the permanent central and left lateral incisors (Fig. 7, Table 2). The lower midline was deviated 1 mm to the left; a 3 mm anterior functional shift indicated that the Class III malocclusion would be less severe after resolution of the crossbite.

Phase I treatment was designed to correct the anterior crossbite and enable arch development and growth modification, using Invisalign First clear aligners and interarch elastics for Class III correction (Fig. 8). In the ClinCheck plan, precision cuts for Class III elastics were added near the upper first permanent molars and lower first deciduous molars. The protocol involved 2 oz, \( \frac{3}{4} \)" Ormco Quaii** elastics, worn after school and while sleeping, during aligner stages 10-26. Composite attachments were added to ensure appliance retention. To open the bite temporarily and make the anterior crossbite easier to correct, lingual bite ramps were built into the aligners on the lower permanent central and left lateral incisors for the first 23 stages (until the crossbite was jumped). Occlusal bite ramps were added to the upper first deciduous molars and the upper and lower second deciduous molars. Interproximal leeway spaces were built into the plan to accommodate the erupting permanent teeth.

Phase I treatment lasted nine months (Fig. 9). The patient was prescribed 29 upper and 25 lower aligners, plus four passive lower trays. No refinement was needed. Aligners were changed weekly, and compliance was generally very good. Some minor tracking issues were experienced when the second and third sets of aligners did not seat correctly, but the problem was resolved by moving the patient forward to stage 4. Because this tray fit well, a new intraoral scan was not needed. The anterior crossbite was corrected, the Class III malocclusion was resolved, the midline was centered, and leeway spaces were created for the remaining unerupted permanent dentition. Plans for Phase II include eruption guidance and detailing with clear aligners. In the meantime, eruption of the upper permanent canines will be monitored every three months.

Discussion

As an early investigator for Invisalign First, I observed several positive factors. Because the clear aligners are removable, the children’s oral hygiene was exceptional, and the level of patient comfort was much higher than what we typically experience with fixed-appliance treatment. Additionally, because the trays are made of plastic and changed weekly, almost no emergency appointments were needed. The most common problem was the loss of an aligner, which was usually addressed by having the patient advance to the next set of trays without an office visit. I was pleasantly surprised by the level of compliance, especially compared with my adult patients. I attribute this both to the comfort of Invisalign First and to parental oversight. I did see issues arise when patients forgot to put their aligners back in after meals—

**Ormco Corporation, Orange, CA; www.ormco.com.
Fig. 7 Case 3. 8-year-old female patient in early mixed dentition with Class III malocclusion, mandibular crowding, and crossbite before treatment.
Fig. 8 Case 3. ClinCheck plan (blue lines represent precision cuts for Class III elastics).

Fig. 9 Case 3. Patient after nine months of Phase I treatment with 29 upper and 25 lower aligners.
might look like. We have also had all the orthodontists in our practice engage in Invisalign Pro® to become comfortable with the ClinCheck software. This has allowed us to continually enhance our learning, so that we can treat more patients with clear aligners.

My chief recommendation to all orthodontists is to dive in and learn what Align Technology has to offer. If you are not ready for Invisalign First, I would advise starting with Invisalign for full-dentition teenagers. While the market is changing rapidly, staying current on technology allows our practices to thrive and provides our patients with high-quality, state-of-the-art treatment.
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