Class II nonextraction treatment involving headgear and Class II elastics often requires exceptional patient cooperation. As a result, more and more clinicians are prescribing “non-compliance” molar distalization appliances. These appliances have some negative side effects, however, including a significant loss of anchorage that can manifest itself in mesially tipped bicusps, anteriorly inclined upper incisors, and increased overjet.1-3

The present article describes an alternative method for enhancing anterior anchorage with the First Class Appliance*4 or other intraoral molar distalization devices.

Case Report

A 22-year-old female with a Class II, division 2 malocclusion was referred to our clinic (Fig. 1). A nonextraction treatment plan was proposed, involving molar distalization with a First Class Appliance.

An extra upper impression was taken for fabrication of an Essix** appliance (.080” Type A) from first premolar to first premolar. The patient was instructed to wear the Essix plate full-time except during meals (Fig. 2).

After two months, the molars had been moved distally enough that the First Class Appliance could be removed (Fig. 3). A modified Nance appliance was placed, with an additional palatal bar between the first molars to stabilize the molars in their new positions (Fig. 4).

Superimposition of pre- and post-treatment tracings showed upper molar distalization with a negligible loss of anterior anchorage (Fig. 5).

*LeoneAmerica, 501 W. Van Buren St., Suite S, Avondale, AZ 85323.
**Raintree Essix, Inc., 1071 S. Jeff Davis Parkway, New Orleans, LA 70125.
Reciprocal forces cause the premolars and incisors to move mesially during distal movement of the upper molars. Acrylic Nance buttons and full-bracketed appliances have been recommended to reinforce anchorage, but studies have shown that these methods cannot completely resist the mesial reciprocal forces. If the anterior segment does not drift distally within three or four months, a second phase of treatment may be required, usually involving compliance-dependent Class II elastics.

The primary goal of the simple technique presented in this article was to reinforce anterior anchorage by adding the first premolars, canines, and incisors to the anchorage unit. A secondary goal was to remove occlusal forces from the posterior teeth and thereby enhance the distal movement of the upper molars. In the case shown here, the molars were moved into a Class I rela-
tionship in two months with little mesial movement of the anterior segment.

Although fabrication of the Essix plate may be thought of as extra laboratory work, the appliance is easy to make. Various thicknesses of the Essix material are available to accommodate the bite-opening requirements of different patients. Because the appliance is esthetic, cooperation is generally excellent, and the plate can easily be removed for home cleaning.

With the anchorage loss kept within acceptable limits, there is no need to wait for distal drift of the anterior teeth or to initiate additional mechanotherapy for anterior retraction. The Essix plate described here can readily be adjusted for use with other intraoral molar distalization appliances.
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