Stepwise Overjet Reduction with a Modified Twin-Block Appliance
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The twin-block appliance, described by Clark in 1982, is currently the most popular functional appliance in the United Kingdom. Recent evidence suggests that it may also be considered the most successful in the treatment of Class II, division 1 malocclusions.\(^1\)

The optimal amount of mandibular advancement for construction of the twin block has yet to be established. Although the bite registration is generally taken with the incisors in an edge-to-edge position, several authors have suggested that a more gradual advancement of the bite may provide a greater orthopedic effect with less incisor tilting in Class II, division 1 cases.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\) Smaller increments of mandibular advancement also reduce tension in the craniomandibular musculature, thus improving patient comfort, speech, and compliance, and increasing the likelihood of correct appliance position being maintained during sleep.

Most functional appliances can only be reactivated by laboratory reconstruction or adjustments, or by time-consuming chairside additions of acrylic, with the accompanying risk of loose monomer in the intraoral cavity. A modified twin-block appliance allows controlled, stepwise bite advancements to be carried out easily at the chair.\(^5\) Advancement screws are incorporated in the maxillary appliance blocks and activated by the insertion of cylindrical acetal.

Fig. 1 12-year-old female patient before treatment.
resin spacers of various thicknesses.

Bite reactivations of as much as 7mm can be readily achieved using the standard 12mm advancement screws. For greater activations, the longer 16mm or 20mm screws may be required. We have used this system for as much as 12mm of stepwise advancement.

Case Report

A 12-year-old female presented with a severe overjet and crowding (Fig. 1). Clinical examination showed mandibular retrusion and a dolichofacial pattern with incompetent lip morphology. Mandibular protrusive and lateral excursive movements were limited.

The overjet was 12mm; the overbite was excessive, but incomplete. The buccal segments were slightly Class II on both sides. The maxillary arch showed severe crowding, with the canines buccally positioned and the lateral incisors palatally inclined. The mandibular arch had minimal crowding and showed previous loss of the first bicuspids. Cephalometric analysis confirmed a marked Class II dental relationship with mandibular retrusion and an excessive maxillomandibular plane angle (Fig. 2).

The treatment plan was as follows:
1. Twin-block appliance with stepwise mandibular advancement to correct the overjet.
2. Extraction of both maxillary first premolars.
3. Placement of fixed appliances to align both arches.

Because of the patient’s restricted mandibular protrusive movement, the appliance was constructed with a minimal bite advancement of 3mm (Fig. 3A). Reactivations were made by adding bilateral spacers of 3mm, 3mm, and 2mm to the maxillary appliance at six-to-eight-week intervals, until the overjet was reduced to zero (Fig. 3B). The longer, 16mm advancement screws were required for the final activation.

The active twin-block appliance phase lasted seven months (Fig. 4). Full-time wear was continued for two more months, during which the maxillary first bicuspids were extracted. Pre-adjusted Roth .022" appliances were then placed. Fixed appliances were removed after 17 months, for a total active treatment time of 24 months (Fig. 5). Upper Hawley and lower fixed multi-stranded retainers were then delivered.

Discussion

A number of prospective twin-block patients are unable to posture into an edge-to-edge position for the construction bite. The patient with a dolichofacial pattern shown here could achieve only 3mm of bite advancement, but required 12mm of overjet reduction. Modifi-
cation of the twin block allowed chairside reacti-
lications with minimal inconvenience to staff and
patient. Conventional twin-block appliances
would have required several reactivations by
chairside addition of acrylic or by laboratory
reconstruction.

A fully adjustable functional appliance has
definite advantages in the treatment of patients
with severe overjets or limited ability to posture
the mandible forward. Commercial manufacture
is currently being investigated, and it is hoped
that the system will become widely available in
the near future.
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Fig. 3 A. Twin block with initial bite advancement of 3mm. B. After seven months of treatment, with further
bite advancements made by adding spacers of 3mm, 3mm, and 2mm bilaterally.

Fig. 4 After seven months of twin-block therapy.
Fig. 5 After 17 months of fixed appliance therapy.